## 2 3 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 TOLAVIUS TIMMONS. 10 Case No.: 2:16-cy-02229-JCM-NJK Plaintiff(s), 11 **ORDER** v. 12 [Docket No. 66] LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE 13 DEPARTMENT, et al., 14 Defendant(s). 15 Pending before the Court is Defendant LVMPD's motion to extend the dispositive motion deadline by 30 days. Docket No. 66. Requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order require a showing of "good cause," Local Rule 26-4, which turns on whether the subject deadline cannot be met despite the diligence of the movant, see, e.g., Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). The instant motion is predicated almost exclusively on the fact that counsel's assistant's employment was terminated on October 31, 2019. Docket No. 66 at 4. The motion also references discussions by Defendants regarding NaphCare potentially assuming 22 LVMPD's defense. See id. Such reasons do not justify a 30-day extension. Instead, the Court finds that they justify a 14-day extension.<sup>2</sup> 24 <sup>1</sup> The motion indicates that it is the first extension request, but the dispositive motion deadline has been extended three times previously. In granting the last extension, the Court indicated that it was "**not inclined to grant further extensions**." Docket No. 59 at 2 (emphasis 26 in original). 27 <sup>2</sup> The motion makes vague reference to the potential for reopening discovery deadlines.

See, e.g., Docket No. 66 at 4. No showing is made to justify such relief. To the extent the motion

includes an implicit request to reopen discovery, that request is **DENIED**.

Accordingly, the pending motion to extend is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. The dispositive motion deadline is **EXTENDED** to November 26, 2019. All other deadlines remain unchanged.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2019

Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge